

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First named Applicant: Robert Douglas Christiansen
 Application No.: 10/620,067 (CONF 7123)
 Filed: 7/14/2003
 Title: Automatically Configuring a Raster Image Processor
 Attorney Docket No.: 100204030-1

Group Art Unit:
 2609
 Examiner:
 Hilina S. Kassa

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

Applicant presents this filing responsive to the notice of non-compliant appeal brief dated June 5, 2009. In the notice, it was stated that “the argument section must match the grounds section insomuch as each ground corresponds to a heading within the argument section,” and in this respect concluded that the “brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal.” Applicant respectfully disagrees with this conclusion.

There are three grounds of rejection noted in the appeal brief (see p. 4). There are further three sections in the appeal brief corresponding with these three grounds of rejection, each denoted with a separate heading. The first section starts on page 5, under the heading “First ground of rejection.” The second section is on page 13, under the heading “Second ground of rejection.” The third section is also on page 13, under the heading “Third ground of rejection.”

Therefore, contrary to the notice, the brief does indeed present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection. If further explanation is required, it is respectfully requested that Applicant’s representative, Mike Dryja, be contacted at the number listed below.

Respectfully Submitted,



 Michael Dryja, Reg. No. 39,662
 Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Michael Dryja, Esq.
 Law Offices of Michael Dryja
 1474 N Cooper Rd #105-248
 Gilbert, AZ 85233

tel: 425-427-5094
 fax: 425-563-2098